

Written Re-Exam for the course

## **Behavioral Economics and Finance**

Master's Course

Date: 18 February 2013

(2-hour, closed book exam)

The exam consists of 3 different questions (with sub-questions).

Good luck.

**(1) The Disposition Effect:**

- (a)** Explain what the disposition effect is and why prospect theory predicts the disposition effect.
- (b)** Explain how Weber and Camerer (JEBO, 1998) test for the disposition effect experimentally in their paper entitled 'The disposition effect in securities trading: an experimental analysis'. Explain their experimental set-up and results.

**(2) Representativeness:**

- (a)** Explain the representativeness heuristic and how the representativeness heuristic can lead to the gambler's and hot hand fallacy. Furthermore, define the gambler's and hot hand fallacy.
- (b)** Consider the following situation featuring a Bayesian decision maker and a decision maker that evaluates situations according to the model by Rabin (2002) (called Rabin Type):

An observer believes that there is an equal chance a fund manager can be any of three types, bad, average, or good, who outperforms other mutual funds  $1/3$ ,  $1/2$ , or  $2/3$  of the time, respectively

What does he infer concerning the type of the fund manager from two good years in a row, if he is a Bayesian and what does he infer, if he is a Rabin Type with  $N=6$ ?

Does this example feature the gambler's or hot-hand fallacy? Explain why?

**(3) Social Preferences:** There is by now a large amount of evidence showing that people are not only motivated by their material self-interest. People also seem to care about others' outcomes, expectations and intentions. Against the background of this empirical finding models of "distributional concerns", "guilt aversion" and "reciprocity" have been developed.

- (a)** Explain the model of inequality aversion presented by Fehr and Schmidt (QJE, 1999) with the help of the Dictator Game discussed in class.
- (b)** Explain why linear inequality aversion a la Fehr and Schmidt (QJE, 1999) leads to too extreme predictions in the context of the Dictator Game. The authors suggest a simple change in their model to 'fix' this. What is their proposition to solve the problem of the extreme prediction?